

**Von:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Gesendet:** Mittwoch, 16. März 2016 09:30  
**An:** Kevin Mooney  
**Betreff:** AW: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Dear Kevin,

I understand that there will be no (further) comments from your side, also in terms of your alleged position as the Simmons "Head of Intellectual Property". I will proceed on this basis.

Kind regards  
Ingve

**Von:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Gesendet:** Freitag, 11. März 2016 15:36  
**An:** Kevin Mooney  
**Betreff:** AW: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Ok, your choice. Then could you probably explain to me why you seem to participate in some conferences on the unitary patent/UPC as the "Head of Intellectual Property" of Simmons & Simmons? Is this still your position in the firm? Or is this designation merely a (frequent) mistake?

Kind regards  
Ingve

**Von:** Kevin Mooney  
**Gesendet:** Freitag, 11. März 2016 14:07  
**An:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Betreff:** RE: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

No this correspondence is at an end. My email was correct

**From:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Sent:** 11 March 2016 11:55  
**To:** Kevin Mooney  
**Subject:** AW: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Dear Kevin,

thank you for this. It may be that you still have "partner" status (which, I assume, will then be more that of a salary partner) and that your work concentrates on said "wider professional activities", but this does not address the core of my request, namely what the basis of your current work for Simmons & Simmons is.

As you did not (yet) comment on the information that this basis is in substance a paid consultancy agreement, I suppose this to be correct. This understanding is also supported by evidence, e. g. the current Chambers UK Guide stating you to act for Simmons "as a consultant", not to mention corresponding information provided by colleagues.

Please feel free to comment/clarify/correct as you please.

Kind regards  
Ingve

**Von:** Kevin Mooney  
**Gesendet:** Mittwoch, 9. März 2016 17:39  
**An:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Betreff:** RE: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Ingve

In response to your queries I am happy to provide the following information. I remain a partner of Simmons (not a consultant) and there is no compulsory retirement age for partners. In addition to my duties as a partner I continue to be involved in a number of wider professional activities including advice on the UPC Rules of Procedure but also advising the UK Government and the IPO on legislative changes, the EPO Academy on judges' training and ,as a former President of EPLAW ,involvement in a wide range of other issues relevant to patent practice and litigation.

Kevin

**From:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Sent:** 04 March 2016 07:24  
**To:** Kevin Mooney  
**Subject:** AW: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Dear Kevin,

[REDACTED]

In view of the circumstances, I will wait for comments from your side until the middle of next week (9 March 2016). I will afterwards proceed on the assumption that you generally object the described situation without stating which part/s of it is/are wrong and what, in your opinion, is correct instead. You can then be more specific at any time, as you see fit.

Kind regards  
Ingve

**Von:** Kevin Mooney  
**Gesendet:** Mittwoch, 2. März 2016 19:48  
**An:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Betreff:** Re: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Ingve

[REDACTED] Frankly your preoccupations are not a priority for me. I will reply when I have the time. In the meantime you should not assume anything.  
Kevin

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the O2 network.

**From:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 2 March 2016 10:26  
**To:** Kevin Mooney  
**Cc:** Alexander Ramsay  
**Subject:** AW: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Dear Kevin,

I will wait for any comments/corrections re the below until this Friday, 4 March 2016. Thereafter, I will assume that the background of your work for Simmons & Simmons since you reached the age of 65 is correct as described and that this work is still based on said paid consultancy agreement.

Kind regards  
Ingve

**Von:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Gesendet:** Mittwoch, 24. Februar 2016 12:24  
**An:** Kevin Mooney  
**Betreff:** AW: Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Dear Kevin,

as I just received a delivery failure notification re my message below due to a local policy at your firm (host dclspm002.simmons-simmons.com [154.59.138.2] said: Local Policy Violation - Sender), I try to send it through a different channel.

Kind regards  
Ingve

**Von:** Ingve Stjerna  
**Gesendet:** Mittwoch, 24. Februar 2016 12:15  
**An:** Kevin Mooney  
**Cc:** Alexander Ramsay  
**Betreff:** Your work for the Preparatoy Committee

Dear Kevin,

I have recently requested from Mr Ramsay information about some aspects relating to the work of the Preparatory Committee, in particular to the "expert teams" set up by it like the Drafting Committee or, more recently, the Expert Panel. After he explained that the members of these teams were acting "in their personal capacity", I asked him whether, as to the members from the legal profession, he was aware of any remuneration being paid by third parties, especially their (former) firms, to these members for their membership. He declined to comment.

If I remember it correctly, partners at Simmons & Simmons - as in many other international law firms - retire from the partnership at the age of 65. As far as I am aware, you reached this age on 14 November 2010. To my knowledge, you afterwards entered into a paid consultancy agreement with the firm in view of your activities as regards the "unitary patent" and Unified Patent Court, especially your membership in the Drafting Committee for the Rules of Procedure. I assume that this consultancy agreement is still in place today.

Please correct me if this understanding should be wrong.

I copy Mr Ramsay on this message.

Kind regards  
Ingve